

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/531,978	03/20/2000	Edward I. Sun	AVERP2720US	6960		
7:	590 01/30/2002					
Armand P Boisselle			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
1621 Euclid Av	oisselle & Sklar LLP venue		ZIRKER, D	OANIEL R		
19th Floor Cleveland, OH	44115		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1		1771	8		
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					10-
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		14
' Office Action Su	ımmary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
—The MAILING DATE of this of	communication appear	rs on the cover shee	et beneath the co	orrespondence ad	dress
Pridfr Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	FOR REPLY IS SET TO	0 EXPIRE <u>-3</u>	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is leteral Information. If NO period for reply is specified above, so a Failure to reply within the set or extended. 	on. iss than thirty (30) days, a re such period shall, by default,	ply within the statutory m expire SIX (6) MONTHS	inimum of thirty (30) from the mailing dat	days will be considered te of this communication	d timely.
Status	,				
Responsive to communication(s)	filed on / 2/	4/01			·
☐ This action is FINAL .	•	•			
☐ Since this application is in condition accordance with the practice under				the merits is close	ed in
Disposition of Claims					
© Claim(s)	1-75		is/are	pending in the appli	cation.
☑ Claim(s)Of the above claim(s)	is/are	withdrawn from con	sideration.		
☐ Claim(s)	ic/are	is/are allowed.			
			IS/AI C	anonca.	
	56-69		is/are	rejected.	
Totalm(s)	56-69		is/are	rejected.	·
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)	56-69		is/are	rejected. objected to.	r election
☐ Claim(s)	56-69		is/are	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction o	r election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)	56-69		is/are is/are is/are	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction o	r election
☐ Claim(s)	56 - 69 person's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948.	is/are is/are is/are is/are su	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction o ement.	r election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Drafts	56-69 person's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approve	is/are su require	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction o ement.	r election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Drafts ☐ The proposed drawing correction,	person's Patent Drawing filed on is/are object	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approve	is/are su require	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction o ement.	r election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Drafts ☐ The proposed drawing correction, ☐ The drawing(s) filed on	person's Patent Drawing filed on is/are object to the Examiner.	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approve	is/are su require	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction o ement.	r election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Drafts ☐ The proposed drawing correction, ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by	person's Patent Drawing filed on is/are object to the Examiner.	g Review, PTO-948. is □ approve	is/are su require	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction o ement.	r election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Drafts ☐ The proposed drawing correction, ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by ☐ The oath or declaration is objecte Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the received.	person's Patent Drawing filed on is/are object to the Examiner. d to by the Examiner. dim for foreign priority un e CERTIFIED copies of the	g Review, PTO-948. is approve ted to by the Examine tider 35 U.S.C. § 11 96 the priority documents	is/are is	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction o ement. d.	r election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Drafts ☐ The proposed drawing correction, ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by ☐ The oath or declaration is objecte Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the	person's Patent Drawing filed on is/are object to the Examiner. d to by the Examiner. dim for foreign priority une e CERTIFIED copies of terries Code/Serial Number	g Review, PTO-948. is approve ted to by the Examine the der 35 U.S.C. § 11 90 the priority documents or)	is/are is	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction o ement. d.	r election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Drafts ☐ The proposed drawing correction, ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by ☐ The oath or declaration is objecte Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Se	person's Patent Drawing, filed on is/are object to the Examiner. It to by the Examiner. It to by the Examiner priority unce CERTIFIED copies of the examiner of the e	g Review, PTO-948 is approve ted to by the Examine der 35 U.S.C. § 11 90 the priority documents er)	is/are is/are is/are are su required d disapprove er. (a)-(d). Is have been	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction o ement. d.	r election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Drafts ☐ The proposed drawing correction, ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by ☐ The oath or declaration is objecte Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Se ☐ received in this national stage in the content of the cont	person's Patent Drawing, filed on is/are object to the Examiner. It to by the Examiner. It to by the Examiner priority unce CERTIFIED copies of the examiner of the e	g Review, PTO-948 is approve ted to by the Examine der 35 U.S.C. § 11 90 the priority documents er)	is/are is/are is/are are su required d disapprove er. (a)-(d). Is have been	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction o ement. d.	r election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Drafts ☐ The proposed drawing correction, ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by ☐ The oath or declaration is objecte Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Se ☐ received in this national stage at the complex of the comp	person's Patent Drawing, filed on is/are object to the Examiner. It to by the Examiner. It is for foreign priority under CERTIFIED copies of the examiner of the exam	g Review, PTO-948 is approve ted to by the Examine oder 35 U.S.C. § 11 96 the priority documents or) emational Bureau (PC	is/are is/are is/are are su required d disapprove er. (a)-(d). Is have been	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction o ement. d.	r election
☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Drafts ☐ The proposed drawing correction, ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ The specification is objected to by ☐ The oath or declaration is objecte Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Se ☐ received in this national stage a *Certified copies not received: ☐ Attachment(s)	person's Patent Drawing, filed on is/are object to the Examiner. It to by the Examiner. It is for foreign priority under CERTIFIED copies of the examiner of the examiner of the examiner. It is for foreign priority under the examiner of the examiner.	g Review, PTO-948. is approve approve ted to by the Examine and approve ted to by the Examine and approve the priority documents are approved to the priority documents are approximately approved to the priority documents are approximately approximately approved to the priority documents are approximately approx	is/are is/are is/are is/are are su required d disapprove er. (a)-(d). Intervi w Sumr	rejected. objected to. bject to restriction o ement. d.	

Serial No. 09/531,978

Art Unit 1771

1. Applicants' election without traverse of claims 56-69 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

The Examiner additionally notes applicants' request to revise the restriction requirement to only three groups of claims instead of four and additionally to include claims 70-73 with the Group I claims, and claims 74-75 with the Group II claims. The Examiner agrees with applicants' suggestion and, accordingly, this is hereby done.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the Abstract should be at most 150 words. Accordingly, applicants should submit a revised Abstract that is less than 150 words with their next response. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 56-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention. More particularly, in claim 56, line 6 of the claim proper Markush language should be inserted in place of "comprising".

Serial No. 09/531,978

Art Unit 1771

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 56-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as 6. being unpatentable over either Adams et al. or Freedman -782. The references each disclose (note particularly Adams et al., Figure 3, column 1 lines 53-67, column 2 lines 17-45 (note the reference cites Freedman -782), column 3 lines 4-29, column 4 line 23 - column 5 line 25, column 6 lines 30-35, column 7 lines 6-40, column 8 lines 9-14, column 9 lines 10-24; Freedman -782, Figure 5, column 2 lines 50-59, column 4 lines 5-12, column 5 lines 16-20, lines 47-54, line 65 - column 6 line 27, column 8 lines 3-28, column 9 lines 1-32) closely related multilayer films suitable for use as label stock. Although Adams et al. clearly prefers a machine direction only oriented polypropylene based film, it substantially discloses such remaining parameters as the three layered structure that applicants contemplate (note, e.g. Fig. 3 and its related disclosure at column 6 lines 30-35). The reference further teaches the three layered structure of a base

Serial No. 09/531,978

Art Unit 1771

core having on one outer surface a thermoplastic skin layer and on the opposing opposite surface a suitable adhesive layer which may be pressure sensitive in nature, with the three layered elements each capable of being formed from a species of embodiments which applicants so contemplate. Although biaxially oriented films are clearly not preferred, the reference does cite Freedman -782 (column 2) in which such films are taught as suitable and at column 9 the reference only gives a vague teaching that biaxial orientation may result in an overly stiff label film, which is believed curable by other techniques known to one of ordinary skill. With respect to Freedman, the reference teaches the same relied upon structure (Fig. 5) that applicants contemplate, together with in certain embodiments the usage of suitable polymeric materials for each of the three layers. Note also that at column 8 lines 3-23 such techniques as biaxial orientation of the films are taught as suitable for use in the practice of the invention.

With respect to those embodiments set forth in the dependent claims such as the usage of various film layer species (claims 57-59), the references are believed to, if not specifically teach the focused upon species at least disclose closely related embodiments thereof, and with respect to the remaining dependent claims, these are each believed to be, if not

Serial No. 09/531,978

Art Unit 1771

expressly or inherently disclosed, obvious modifications to one of ordinary skill in the absence of unexpected results.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel Zirker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0031. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Dzirker:cdc

January 24, 2002

DANIEL ZIRKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1300

Daniel Zicker